1		CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
2	STATE OF	' WASHINGTON
3	ALLIED AQUATICS, INC.,)	
4	Appellant,)	PCHB No. 89-145
5	v.)	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
6	state of Washington, DEPARTMENT) OF ECOLOGY,	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
7	Respondent.)	
8		

This is an appeal of the Department of Ecology's issuance of a \$3,000 civil penalty for the discharge of a copper-containing aquatic herbicide on August 15, 1990, to a community pond near Star Lake in Mason County without obtaining a water quality standards modification.

On November 2, 1990, the Board issued an Order granting partial summary judgment to the Department on the issue of liability.

On January 10, 1991, a hearing was held on the issue of the reasonableness of the penalty. Present for the Pollution Control Hearings Board were: Chair Judith A. Bendor, presiding, and Members Harold S. Zimmerman and Annette S. McGee.

Appellant Allied Aquatics, Inc. was represented by its President, Douglas Dorling. Respondent Department of Ecology was represented by Allen T. Miller, Jr., Assistant Attorney General. The proceedings were reported by Marilyn A. Johnson of Gene Barker and Associates. Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted and examined. Argument was made.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 89-145 The Board reviewed the record, conferred, and by a letter dated January 16, 1991, announced the penalty was affirmed. At the Board's request, prevailing party DOE filed and served Proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order.

Today's Order confirms the Board's January 16, 1991 oral ruling, and for convenience also contains the November 2, 1990 Findings and Conclusions Granting Partial Summary Judgment.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Allied Aquatics (Allied), is a company in the business of applying aquatic herbicides in waters of the state to control the growth of plants considered undesirable by waterfront property owners.

ΙI

The State of Washington Department of Ecology (DOE) is responsible for conducting a regulatory program on aquatic herbicide. Each aquatic herbicide application has to be authorized in advance before it can proceed. The State issues an administrative order which, on a short-term basis, modifies water quality standards for the location where the herbicide is to be applied. Such orders set forth the time frame, location, and type of chemicals to be used, and might list other conditions.

III

On August 15, 1989, Allied Aquatics applied a copper-containing aquatics herbicide to a community pond adjacent to Star Lake in Mason

26 FINA

County. The Lake is officially designated Lystair Lake on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle map.

IV

Allied Aquatics applied the herbicide to the pond without obtaining a temporary water quality modification from the Department of Ecology. Dead coho salmon fingerlings were seen in the pond on August 16, 1990.

Water samples taken that day revealed copper concentrations of 796 micrograms per liter, which is in the upper range of acute toxicity for fingerlings. Five hundred micrograms per liter produces almost total mortality within 24 hours. The discharge of the herbicide in the pond killed the fish.

V

On October 10, 1989, DOE sent Notice of Penalty Incurred and Due No. DE 89-199 to Allied Aquatics asserting the violation and assessing a \$3,000 fine under the provisions of RCW 90.48.144. Allied Aquatics appealed to this Board, and the appeal was numbered PCHB No. 89-145.

VI

On July 20, 1990, DOE filed a motion, memorandum and affidavits in support of partial summary judgment on the issue of Allied's liability for the violation. Appellant was provided several opportunities to reply to the motion, the latest being October 29, 1990 (Board Order October 18, 1990). No reply was filed. After

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 89-145

1	review of these filings, the Board concluded that a violation had	
2	occurred, and issued an Order November 2, 1990.	
3	VII	
4	The hearing record reveals that prior to this incident Allied	
5	Aquatics had 11 separate violations of Chapt. 90.48 RCW in their	
6	application of aquatic herbicides, resulting in \$12,000 in penalties.	
7	Exh. R-1.	
8	VIII	
9	Any Conclusion of Law deemed to be a Finding of Fact is hereby	
10	adopted as such.	
11	From these Findings of Fact, the Board makes these	
12	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
13	I	
14	The Board has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject	
15	matter. Chapts. 90.48 and 43.21B RCW.	
16	II	
17	The pond adjacent to Star Lake is a water of the state under RCW	
18	90.48.020. CH2O, Inc. v. DOE, PCHB No. 84-182 (1985).	
19	III	
20	Allied's discharge of a copper-containing herbicide to the pond	
21	on August 15, 1990 violated RCW 90.48.080 as a discharge of polluting	
22	matter. Ellensburg Water Co. v. DOE, PCHB No. 86-232 (1988). Allied	
23	did not obtain a temporary water quality modification prior to	
24		
25		
26	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,	
27	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	

(4)

PCHB No. 89-145

1	applying this pollutant, in violation of Chapt. 90.48 RCW and Chapt.	
2	173-201 WAC.	
3	IV	
4	We turn to the issue of the reasonableness of the penalty.	
5	The principal goal of civil penalties is to change behavior, to	
6	promote compliance by the particular violator and the public at large.	
7	Cosden Oil v. DOE, PCHB No. 85-111 (1986); Allied Aquatics v. DOE,	
8	PCHB Nos. $89-16$, -17 , -118 (1990). The maximum penalty possible was	
9	\$10,000.	
10	The record discloses (Finding of Fact VII), that prior to this	
11	incident Allied Aquatics had not been complying with the state of	
12	Washington water pollution laws and regulations when applying aquatic	
13	herbicides. In addition, the herbicide application in this instance	
14	killed coho salmon fingerlings.	
15	We conclude the \$3,000 was reasonable.	
16	V	
17	Any Finding of Fact which is deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby	
18	adopted as such.	
19	From these Conclusions of Law, the Board enters the following	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 89-145

26

27

1	ORDER
2	Penalty Order No. 89-199 in the amount of \$3,000 is AFFIRMED in
3	full.
4	DONE this /3" day of March, 1991.
5	
6	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
7	CONTROL MARKINGS BOARD
8	India (ABendo 2
9	JUDATH A. BENDOR, Chair and Presiding
10	HAROLD S. ZIMMERMAN, Member
11	
12	MINERONE S. MOSEE Markey
13	ANNETTE S. McGEE, Member
14	
15	
16	0127B
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 89-145

26

27